

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,536	01/18/2007	Richard Morisson	4590-525	3208
	7590 05/10/200 MAN GILMAN & BI	EXAMINER		
1700 DIAGNO	STIC ROAD, SUITE 3	JEANGLAUDE, JEAN BRUNER		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2819	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	05/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/580,536	MORISSON, RICHARD		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jean B. Jeanglaude	2819		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 January 2007</u> .				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
.3)					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>5-25-06</u> is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Inform	et(s)  te of References Cited (PTO-892)  te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  tr No(s)/Mail Date 5-25-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Abstract

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details. The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc. Also, the use of the word phrase "the invention" should be avoided in the abstract.

### Specification

The specification must contain a subtitle for the continuing data. It is suggested to incorporate the continuing data after this subtitle.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miki et al. (US Patent Number 5,396,131).
- Regarding claim 1, Miki et al. discloses an analogue-to-digital converter (figs. 1 4) having differential inputs and a parallel structure (col. 1, lines 8 - 13, figs. 1 - 4), comprising at least one network of N series resistors with value r (col. 6, lines 8 - 30, fig. 2, block 5, fig. 3, resistors 111-115) and one network of N comparators (col. 6, lines 8 - 17, fig. 2, blocks 61-6n) in which wherein: the series resistor network receives a reference voltage (fig. 3, blocks 101, 102) and is traversed by a fixed current I<sub>0</sub> (col. 6, lines 18 – 30) the row i, i varying from I to N, comparator (fig. 4, block 61) includes: a dual differential amplifier with four inputs (col. 7, line 54 to col. 8, line 55; fig. 4 block 400)[inputs VA1, VA2, VR1a and VRb1), two inputs receiving a differential voltage to be converted (col. 6, line 41 to col. 7, line 53) [Va1, VA2, fig. 4], a third input being connected to a row i resistor of the network (col. 6, lines 46 - 50) [VR1a, fig. 4], and a fourth input being connected to an N-i row resistor of the network (VR1b, fig. 4; col. 6, lines 46 - 50), the dual differential amplifier supplying a voltage representing a difference of the form and the comparator switching in one direction or the other depending on the level of the voltage and on the row i of the comparator when said difference changes sign, characterized in that wherein the resistor network is supplied by a variable reference voltage originating from a servoloop circuit which locks the voltage level of the middle of the resistor network at a voltage equal to the common

mode voltage (VSVSN)/2 of the differential voltage to be converted (col. 6, line 58 to col. 7, line 52; figs. 12-13).

- Regarding claim 2, Miki discloses a converter (figs. 1-4) characterized in that wherein the servoloop circuit supplies a variable reference voltage to the resistor network and to another resistor network similar to the first, locking being performed starting from a voltage taken from the middle of the other resistor network (figs. 1-4).
- 6. Regarding claim 3, Miki et al. discloses a converter (figs. 1-4) that wherein the dual differential amplifier with four inputs is composed of two single differential amplifiers, the outputs of which are connected in parallel, each of them receiving, on the one hand, one of the two input differential voltages and, on the other hand, one of the two voltages originating from the resistor network (fig. 4).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miki et al. in view of Kouno et al. (US Patent Number 5,539,406).
- 9. Regarding claim 4, Miki et al. discloses all the limitations as discussed above except the converter wherein the dual differential amplifier with four inputs is composed of two single differential amplifiers, the outputs of which are connected in parallel, each

of them receiving, on the one hand, one of the two input differential voltages and, on the other hand, one of the two voltages originating from the resistor network. However, Kouno et al., in a related field, discloses a converter (fig. 1) wherein the dual differential amplifier with four inputs is composed of two single differential amplifiers, the outputs of which are connected in parallel, each of them receiving, on the one hand, one of the two input differential voltages and, on the other hand, one of the two voltages originating from the resistor network (col. 2, lines 35 - 41; col. 28, lines 24 - 57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miki et al.'s system with that of Kouno et al. in order to realize high speed operation and low power consumption.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Jeanglaude whose telephone number is 571-272-1804. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 A. M. - 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rexford Barnie can be reached on 571-272-7492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,536

Art Unit: 2819

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

Jan Bruner Jean Blande Jean Bruner Jeanglaude

Primary Examiner
April 23, 2007